(Mr. SPEAKER.)

14. The Mysore Sales-Tax (Amendment) Bill, 1953.
15. The Mysore Town Municipalities (Amendment) Bill, 1953.
16. The Mysore Police (Second Amendment) Bill, 1953.
17. The Mysore Appropriation (No. 3) Bill, 1953.

MESSAGE FROM HIS HIGHNESS THE RAJPRAMUKH.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have received the following message from His Highness the Rajpramukh :—

"Whereas the amount authorised by the Mysore Appropriation (No. 2) Act, 1953 (Mysore Act No. 2 of 1953) and by the Mysore Appropriation (No. 3) Act, 1953 (Mysore Act No. 18 of 1953) to be expended for services indicated in the annexed statement for the current financial year is found to be insufficient for the purpose of the said year;

Now therefore, in pursuance of clause (1) of Article 205 of the Constitution of India, I, Jaya Chamaraja Wadiyar, Rajpramukh of Mysore, do hereby direct that the Statement showing the Estimated Amount of Supplementary Expenditure including that of the transferred territory aggregating to the amount as indicated hereunder and detailed in the Statement of the Estimates annexed be laid before the January 1954 Session of the Legislature :

	Transferred territory	*Other than transferred territory*	*Total*
Charged—			
Expenditure met from Revenue ..	25,02,200	45,000	25,47,200
Public Debt discharged ..	..	2,72,361	2,72,361
Total ..	25,02,200	3,17,361	28,19,561
Voted—			
Expenditure met from Revenue ..	56,32,154	35,10,346	91,42,500
Capital	75,35,400	86,00,100	1,61,35,500
Disbursement of Loans and Advances	4,45,200	25,50,600	29,95,800
Total ..	1,36,12,754	1,46,61,046	2,82,73,800
Grand Total ..	1,61,14,954	1,49,78,407	3,10,93,361

JAYA CHAMARAJA WADIYAR,
Rajpramukh of Mysore.

Business of the House.

*Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM (Jagalur).—Sir, I have to bring to the notice of the Chair that a sudden departure has been made from the usual practice and tradition. Sir, as you know very well, till now, we were gviing priority to the Motion on the Address of Thanks and also to the amendments to be moved on that motion and after passing this, we would take up the rest of the business. But I do not know what induced the Government to make this change and take up the motion on the Address of Thanks somewhere

in the midst of the Session. I think it is but appropriate that this House should consider the Motion of Thanks first and we have built up that tradition. But I cannot understand why there is this sudden departure. Secondly, Sir, the Leader of the House has been promising and he has promised quite a number of times that before making any such departure and before taking a decision regarding the programme, he would consult the Leaders of the various parties.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Leader of the House).—How many Parties are there?

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—There is the P. S. P. There is the Independent Party; there is the Opposition. This is an important change in the established procedure and practice and I wish the Leader of the House had consulted some of us. Somehow, I feel that the delay in taking up of the Motion of Thanks which is practically the most important portion of our work, looks to be a bit anomalous. That is an occasion, Sir, when we express our views and the Motion of Thanks and the amendments thereto give the Members of this House an opportunity to express their views on the activities of the Government and their policies. It will also give us an opportunity to express our views on the various items of work that have been placed before us during the session. Now, all these opportunities are denied to us and we are in a very handicapped position that the Motion of Thanks and the amendments thereunder are not taken up which is after all the most important part of our work. I would like to know what made the Government to make this sudden change and to make a violent departure and why he did not consult us: and even now if it is not too late, I request them to rectify this mistake which has been committed perhaps unknowingly by the Government.

Sri MULKA GOVINDA REDDY (Chitaldrug).—Mr. Speaker, I wish to add to what my Hon'ble friend Sri Mohamed Imam has said. There seems to be no planning with regard to the programme of work of our Sessions or meetings of the Assembly or of the Council. We were given to understand that a Session would be convened somewhere in the month of November or December and afterwards this Session has been convened in January. And again we do not know when the Budget Session will be convened. It is made known in the Parliament that the Budget Session of the Parliament will commence from the 15th February. So also, we must lay down a tradition so that we may fix up certain dates on which the Budget Session should be convened and dates on which the Winter Session should be convened. It should be obligatory on the part of the Government to fix up dates now alone so that it will be convenient for the Members of the Assembly to adjust their programme for these Sessions. And further, Sir, last year, the Budget Session was convened on the 7th March and we made so many requests that ....

Mr. SPEAKER.—How is this relevant on this occasion? We will consider it later on. At any rate, not at this stage.

Sri MULKA GOVINDA REDDY.—Proper time was not allowed for discussion and voting of demands in the last Budget Session. So also Sir,....

Sri R. ANANTARAMAN (Chamarajapet).—I rise to a point of order, Sir. Usually the Leader of the Opposition will put forward these grievances before the Government.

Sri MULKA GOVINDA REDDY.—I have not come here to bow down to the Hon'ble Member. The Programme shows that Monday, 18th January has been fixed up for official business and discussion and voting on demands for Additional and Supplementary Grants for 1953. One day for discussing and voting of demands on these Additional and Supplementary Grants is not at all sufficient. That only shows that the business of the Assembly has not been properly

(Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.)

planned and programmed for and it is but right that the Leader of the Opposition should be taken into confidence and programme of work should be fixed so that it will facilitate Members of the Legislature.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I may read this Rule 18 for the information of the House :

" 18. The Speaker will arrange the business in such order as he in consultation with the Leader of the House may determine."

It is only in 'consultation' and not with 'concurrence.' Anyhow it is left to the discretion of the Speaker to determine the programme. Added to that, the main objection is that the debate on the Address of the Rajpramukh should be given precedence over other business. But I would like to draw the attention of the Hon'ble Leader of the Opposition to Article 176 (2) of the Constitution which has been recently amended. There again it is left to the discretion of the Speaker to determine the order of business. Since both the Houses—I mean the Assembly and the Council are summoned simultaneously and since I understand that there is no work for the Legislative Council for a day or two next, I thought that it was fit and proper that I must adjust the business in the interest of the other House also; taking this into consideration, the present arrangement of business is made and I trust the House will agree with this order.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE.

ORDINANCES.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—Sir, I lay on the Table of the House :

The Mysore Tenancy (Amendment) Ordinance, 1953.

The Mysore Alienated Villages (Protection of Tenants) Ordinance, 1953.

The Miscellaneous Provisions (Amendment) Ordinance, 1953.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA (Minister for Agriculture).—Sir, I lay on the Table of the House :

The Madras Preservation of Private Forests (Mysore Amendment) Ordinance, 1953.

The Madras Sugar Factories Control (Mysore Amendment) Ordinance, 1953.

The Mysore Co-operative Societies (Extension of application to Bellary) Ordinance, 1953.

Sri MULKA GOVINDA REDDY (Chitaldrug).—I rise to a point of order, Sir. My point of order is that the Hon'ble Ministers have read only the names of the Ordinances and not the contents thereof.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK (Molakalmuru).—While laying on the Table, there is no need to read the contents of the Ordinance, Sir.

1-30 P.M.

FINANCE ACCOUNTS, APPROPRIATION ACCOUNT AND AUDIT REPORTS.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Chief Minister).—Sir, I beg to lay on the Table of the House the Finance Accounts, 1950-51 and Appropriation Account, 1950-51 and Audit Reports, 1951-52.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The next one.

SCHEDULE OF DEMANDS FOR ADDITIONAL AND SUPPLEMENTARY GRANTS.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Sir, I beg to place on the Table of House the Schedule of Demands for Additional and Supplementary Grants for the year 1953-54.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Copies will be distributed.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Sir, shall I make a few observations ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Yes.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Sir,

In placing the Supplementary Estimates (second instalment) for the year 1953-54 before the House, I would like to make a brief statement. The introductory memorandum appended to the Estimates indicates the